

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/897,572	Applicant(s) CONNOR ET AL.	
	Examiner Cephia D. Toomer	Art Unit 1714	

All Participants:

(1) Cephia D. Toomer.

(2) Laura Grunzinger.

Date of Interview: 9 September 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____


(4) _____

Time: _____

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner informed Ms. Grunzinger that a supplemental amendment is needed in order to clarify the status of claims 40-44.

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

CEPHIA D. TOOMER
PRIMARY EXAMINER


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/897,572	CONNOR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Cephia D. Toomer.

(2) Laura Grunzinger.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 August 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

The withdrawn claims and claim 79.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Grunzinger indicated that the examiner may cancel the withdrawn claims and amend claim 79 to change the dependency from 17 to 15.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)